

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 04-1424**

---

CAROLINE CHEBET SOI,

Petitioner,

versus

JOHN ASHCROFT, Attorney General for the United  
States,

Respondent.

---

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration  
Appeals. (A76-596-913)

---

Submitted: September 20, 2004

Decided: October 12, 2004

---

Before WILLIAMS and MOTZ, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Sean D. Hummel, Washington, D.C., for Petitioner. Peter D.  
Keisler, Assistant Attorney General, Margaret J. Perry, Senior  
Litigation Counsel, Stephen J. Flynn, Office of Immigration  
Litigation, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C.,  
for Respondent.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Caroline Chebet Soi, a native and citizen of Kenya, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("Board") order affirming the immigration judge's ("IJ") denial of her motion to reopen.

We review the denial of a motion to reopen for abuse of discretion. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a) (2004); INS v. Doherty, 502 U.S. 314, 323-24 (1992); Stewart v. INS, 181 F.3d 587, 595 (4th Cir. 1999). The denial of a motion to reopen must be reviewed with extreme deference, since immigration statutes do not contemplate reopening and the applicable regulations disfavor motions to reopen. M.A. v. INS, 899 F.2d 304, 308 (4th Cir. 1990) (en banc). We have reviewed the administrative record, the Board's order, and the IJ's decision and find no abuse of discretion.

Accordingly, we deny the petition for review. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED